

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

g	obvious District of California				
10 11 12 13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  v.  NEJANDRO CALZADA, JR.  Defendant  Plaintiff,  V.  Defendant				
15 16 17 18	The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the Southern  District of CAIFORNIA for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of probation or supervised release; and Having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), the Court finds that:  A. () The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and				
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>					
23 24	convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on the following:  - UNLOWN BACKGROUND  - UNLOWN BAI RESOURCES				
25					
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	· Undoelying Alegation/History of ABSCONDing				
28					

	1 and	'or	
3	3	()	The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose a danger to the safety of any other person and have a safety of a safety o
5	;		safety of any other person or the community if released under 18
6	1		U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on the following:
7	1		
8	ll .		
9			
10			
11			
12	IT TI	HEREF	FORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending the further
13	revoc	ation p	proceedings.
14			
15	Dated	l: 7	1/27/11 hm/- fisher
16			HONORABLE DAVID T. BRISTOW United States Magistrate Judge
17			a served a times insugnation funge
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	-		
28			2